**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 2137 OF 2005.**

Smt. Padminiraje Parwardhan ..Petitioner.

V/s.

Ms. Jailaxmidevi Kedarandre
Dev-Jhala .. Respondent.

Shri Y.S. Jahagirdar i/b Uday Warunjikar for the petitioner.

Shri R.R. Bhosale for the respondent.

**CORAM : V.C.DAGA, J.**

**DATED 23.11.2005.**

**P.C. :**
**----**

. Heard learned Counsel for rival parties.

2. Petitioner has suffered concurrent finding of facts. During the pendency of the petition, the petitioner has also lost possession. The decree has already been executed. No case is made out to interfere with the concurrent finding of facts. This Court not being a Court of appeal, is not expected to reappreciate the evidence. Each and every finding of the Courts below is supported by the material available on record. Order under challenge cannot be said to be perverse. In this view of the matter, petition is dismissed in limine with no order as to costs.